



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/604,853	08/21/2003	Randall A. Addington	1081003	1852

24253 7590 01/21/2009

JOEL I ROSENBLATT  
445 11TH AVENUE  
INDIALANTIC, FL 32903

EXAMINER
----------

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

DATE MAILED: 01/21/2009

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE****U.S. Patent and Trademark Office**

Address : COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
10604853	8/21/2003	ADDINGTON ET AL.	1081003

JOEL I ROSENBLATT  
445 11TH AVENUE  
INDIALANTIC, FL 32903

**EXAMINER**

William M. Pierce

ART UNIT	PAPER
3711	20090117

DATE MAILED:

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

**Commissioner for Patents**

The Examiner's Answer (mailed September 13, 2007) has been amended to recite;

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

US 4,062,540 12-1977 Calentine  
US 3,728,736 04-1973 Pugh

The First Instrumented Bowling Ball, by Franz Konstantin Fuss, Kong and Tan, Sports Engineering Research Team, Published March 2006.

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

Applications 09/396,530 was issued only as a result of the Board decision of 2/28/2007 (Appeal No. 2006-3338). The First Instrumented Bowling Ball Reference showing what examiner considered inherent in the art of Bowling with respect to the forces placed upon a finger while bowling was note of record for consideration therein. Additionally, 09/396,531 is currently pending at the Board.

The reply brief filed 9/24/07 contains new reference evidence (US Patent 4,371,163 (Shaffer et al.)) which deems the Reply Brief non-compliant. See MPEP § 1208(a)(2). See MPEP § 1208(b). As such this Reply Brief has not been considered.

/William M Pierce/  
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3711